UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,387	08/09/2005	Robin John Batterham	4623-045790	4715
	7590 01/19/201 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING	ZHU, WEIPING		
436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON		_		
The MAILING DATE of this communication and Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	WEIPING ZHU ppears on the cover sheet with LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	1793 the correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON				
		ITH(S) OD THIDTY (30) DAVS			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHs ate, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	November 2009				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,10-14,21 and 22 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,10-14,21 and 22 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attached C	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in App iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/3/2009.	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/516,387 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-7, 10-14, 21 and 22 are currently under examination, wherein claims 1 and 21 have been amended and the claim 22 has been newly added in applicant's amendment filed on November 3rd, 2009.

Status of Previous Rejections

2. The previous rejections of claims 1-7, 10-14 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention as stated in the Office action dated May 4, 2009 are withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment filed on November 3rd, 2009. The previous rejections of claims 1-7, 10-14 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as stated in the Office action dated May 4, 2009 are maintained as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 10-14, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US 4,960,584).

Claims 1-7, 10-14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US 4,960,584) as stated in the Office action dated May 4, 2009.

Art Unit: 1793

With respect to the amended feature of removing the parentheses in the instant claims 1 and 21, it does not change the scope of the claims. Therefore, the reasons for the rejections of claims 1 and 21 as stated in the Office action dated May 4, 2009 are further applied properly herein.

With respect to the amended feature of the time period of less than 4 hours in each 24 hour period for leach liquor application in the instant claims 1 and 21, Brown ('584) discloses that the contact time ranges from four hours to sixty days (col. 4, line 65 to col. 5, line 13), which meets the claim limitation because the instant claims do not limit the number of the 24 hour period; and the total contact time would be less than 4 hours if the number of the 24 hour period is one (1), which is very close to the contact time of 4 hours as disclosed by Brown ('584). Furthermore, it is well held that discovering an optimum value of a result-effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617, F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). In the instant case, the total contact time is a result effective variable, because it would directly affect the completeness of the leaching as disclosed by Brown ('584) (col. 5, lines 2-13).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have optimized the contact time of Brown ('584) for the desired completeness of the leaching. See MPEP 2144.05 II.

With respect to the newly added claim 22, the reasons for the rejections of the instant claims 1 and 21 are further applied. Furthermore, Brown ('584) discloses moving the curtain along the entire length of the heap continuously by arranging a series of parallel tubes 16 separated from each other at a desired distance to cover the entire

Application/Control Number: 10/516,387 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

heap area in order to ensure a substantially uniform saturation of the entire heap (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 51-54 and col. 4, lines 52-64), which meets the limitation of step (b) in the newly added claim 22, because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the curtain can be moved along the entire length of the heap either continuously as disclosed by Brown ('584) or in a series of steps (e.g. section by section) as instantly claimed. These two ways of moving the curtain along the entire length of the heap would have similar results in terms of achieving a substantially uniform saturation of the entire heap.

Response to Arguments

4. The applicant's arguments filed on November 3rd, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Brown ('584) does not teach the contact time is less than 4 hours in each 24 hour period; the curtain is moved; and the claimed feature in the step (b) in the newly added claim 22. In response, see the reasons for the rejections of the amended features in the instant claims 1 and 21 and the caimed feature in the step (b) in the newly added claim 22 above.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/516,387 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Weiping Zhu whose telephone number is 571-272-6725. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-16:30 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,387

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Page 6

WZ

12/1/2009